

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,345	09/25/2003	Hans Schreck	BTK Case 378 7072	
23474	7590 09/27/2005	EXAMIN		INER
	IEL BOUTELL & TA	HEITBRINK, TIMOTHY W		
2026 RAMBLING ROAD KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- <u>-</u>							
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/671,	345	SCHRECK, HANS				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Tim Hei		1722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIO - Exte afte - If NO - Fail ·Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no exaction. Ory period will apply and by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tir will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>28 March 200</u>	<u>5</u> .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)			· .				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte</i> C	<i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are valued.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from c						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or be a to the drawing(s) correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Seired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	Q/8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	·				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTCer No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-14-0 4&amp; 9-25-03</u> .	•		Patent Application (PTO-152)				



Application/Control Number: 10/671,345

Art Unit: 1722

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12 and 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 12, it is unclear if the phrase "in particular" further limits "the form."

In claim 14, it is unclear how the fixing element is similar to a stud screw. The Examiner suggests deleting "similar to a stud screw."

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schmidt.

Schmidt discloses a device for opening and closing injection nozzles comprising a base plate 12, cylinder plate 108, piston plate 60, first sealing element 70, a first work chamber 122 and an end plate 106 where the piston plate is positioned between the base plate and the end plate.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/671,345

Art Unit: 1722

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt as applied to claims 1-10 and 13 above, and further in view of Greenberg et al.

While Schmidt does not disclose a plurality of nozzles connected to a piston plate, Greenberg et al. discloses a plurality of nozzles connected to a piston plate 72 to be conventional.

Claim 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 12 and 14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The above claims define over the prior art since the prior art fails to disclose or suggest a guide element or an axially continuous recess which allows for slight radial play.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Heitbrink whose telephone number is 571-272-1132. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tim Heitbrink
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1722

twh 9-23-05